PB-250 698

LAKE WEE-MA-TUK, FULTON COUNTY, ILLINOIS

Pacific Northwest Environmental Research Laboratory

Prepared for:

National Environmental Research Center

June 1975

DISTRIBUTED BY:

NTIS

National Technical Information Service
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

TECHNICAL REPORT DATA
(Please read Instructions on the reverse before completing)

PB250698

1. REPORT NO.	2.	3. RECIPIENT'S ACCESSION NO.

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE
Report on Lake Wee-Ma-Tuk, Fulton County, Illinois

5. REPORT DATE
Prepared June 1975

6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CODE

7. AUTHOR(S)
Anonymous (National Eutrophication Survey Staff)

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NO.
Working Paper # 321

9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS
Pacific Northwest Environmental Research Laboratory
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
200 S. W. 35th St.
Corvallis, Oregon 97330

10. PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.
1BA029

11. CONTRACT/GRANT NO.

12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS
Office of Research and Development
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
401 M Street, S. W.
Washington, DC 20460

13. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED
Final - 1973

14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE

15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES
Prepared in cooperation with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Environmental Research Center, Las Vegas, Nevada 89114

16. ABSTRACT
Annual total phosphorus and total nitrogen loadings to the lake were estimated and subdivided according to either point or non-point source origin. An assessment of the lake's trophic condition and limiting nutrient is also provided. All data collected by the U.S.E.P.A. National Eutrophication Survey during the one year study of the lake and its tributaries are included in the report.

Reproduced from best available copy.

17. KEY WORDS AND DOCUMENT ANALYSIS

a. DESCRIPTORS	b. IDENTIFIERS/OPEN ENDED TERMS	c. COSATI Field/Group
eutrophication lakes nutrients phosphorus nitrogen loading		05B 05C

PRICES SUBJECT TO CHANGE

18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT	19. SECURITY CLASS (This Report)	21. NO. OF PAGES
Release unlimited		38
ia	20. SECURITY CLASS (This page)	22. PRICE
		4.00-2.25

EPA Form 2220-1 (9-73)

PB 250 698

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

WORKING PAPER SERIES

CORVALLIS ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LABORATORY - CORVALLIS, OREGON
and
ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & SUPPORT LABORATORY - LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

REPRODUCED BY
NATIONAL TECHNICAL
INFORMATION SERVICE
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
SPRINGFIELD, VA. 22161

G.P.O. 890-468

083028

REPORT
ON
LAKE WEE-MA-TUK
FULTON COUNTY
ILLINOIS
EPA REGION V
WORKING PAPER No. 321

WITH THE COOPERATION OF THE

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

AND THE

ILLINOIS NATIONAL GUARD

JUNE, 1975

CONTENTS

	Page
Foreword	ii
List of Illinois Study Lakes	iv
Lake and Drainage Area Map	v
Sections	
I. Conclusions	1
II. Lake and Drainage Basin Characteristics	3
III. Lake Water Quality Summary	4
IV. Nutrient Loadings	8
V. Literature Reviewed	13
VI. Appendices	14

FOREWORD

The National Eutrophication Survey was initiated in 1972 in response to an Administration commitment to investigate the nationwide threat of accelerated eutrophication to fresh water lakes and reservoirs.

OBJECTIVES

The Survey was designed to develop, in conjunction with state environmental agencies, information on nutrient sources, concentrations, and impact on selected freshwater lakes as a basis for formulating comprehensive and coordinated national, regional, and state management practices relating to point-source discharge reduction and non-point source pollution abatement in lake watersheds.

ANALYTIC APPROACH

The mathematical and statistical procedures selected for the Survey's eutrophication analysis are based on related concepts that:

a. A generalized representation or model relating sources, concentrations, and impacts can be constructed.

b. By applying measurements of relevant parameters associated with lake degradation, the generalized model can be transformed into an operational representation of a lake, its drainage basin, and related nutrients.

c. With such a transformation, an assessment of the potential for eutrophication control can be made.

LAKE ANALYSIS

In this report, the first stage of evaluation of lake and watershed data collected from the study lake and its drainage basin is documented. The report is formatted to provide state environmental agencies with specific information for basin planning [§303(e)], water quality criteria/standards review [§303(c)], clean lakes [§314(a,b)], and water quality monitoring [§106 and §305(b)] activities mandated by the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972.

Beyond the single lake analysis, broader based correlations between nutrient concentrations (and loading) and trophic condition are being made to advance the rationale and data base for refinement of nutrient water quality criteria for the Nation's fresh water lakes. Likewise, multivariate evaluations for the relationships between land use, nutrient export, and trophic condition, by lake class or use, are being developed to assist in the formulation of planning guidelines and policies by EPA and to augment plans implementation by the states.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The staff of the National Eutrophication Survey (Office of Research & Development, U. S. Environmental Protection Agency) expresses sincere appreciation to the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency for professional involvement and to the Illinois National Guard for conducting the tributary sampling phase of the Survey.

Dr. Richard H. Briceland, Director of the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency; and Ronald M. Barganz, State Survey Coordinator, and John J. Forneris, Manager of Region III, Field Operations Section of the Division of Water Pollution Control, provided invaluable lake documentation and counsel during the Survey, reviewed the preliminary reports, and provided critiques most useful in the preparation of this Working Paper series.

Major General Harold R. Patton, the Adjutant General of Illinois, and Project Officer Colonel Daniel L. Fane, who directed the volunteer efforts of the Illinois National Guardsmen, are also gratefully acknowledged for their assistance to the Survey.

NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION SURVEY

STUDY LAKES

STATE OF ILLINOIS

LAKE NAME	COUNTY
Baldwin	Randolph
Bloomington	McLean
Carlyle	Bond, Clinton, Fayette
Cedar	Lake
Charleston	Coles
Coffeen	Montgomery
Crab Orchard	Jackson, Williamson
Decatur	Macon
DePue	Bureau
East Loon	Lake
Fox	Lake
Grass	Lake
Highland Silver	Madison
Holiday	LaSalle
Horseshoe	Madison
Long	Lake
Lou Yaeger	Montgomery
Marie	Lake
Old Ben Mine	Franklin
Pistakee	Lake, McHenry
Raccoon	Marion
Rend	Franklin, Jefferson
Sangchris	Christian
Shelbyville	Moultrie, Shelby
Slocum	Lake
Springfield	Sangamon
Storey	Knox
Vandalia	Fayette
Vermilion	Vermilion
Wee-Ma-Tuk	Fulton
Wonder	McHenry


LAKE WEE-MA-TUK
Tributary Sampling Site
Lake Sampling Site
Sewage Treatment Plant
0 1 2 3 Km.
0 1 Mi.
Scale
Ill.
Map Location
Fiatt
A2
Creek
Pul
LAKE WEE-MA-TUK
dam
outlet
Pul Cr.
Country Club
Truax Lake
Woods Lake
40°36'
40°34'
40°32'
90°10'
90°08'
90°06'

LAKE WEE-MA-TUK

STORET NO. 1761

I. CONCLUSIONS

A. Trophic Condition:

Survey data indicate that Lake Wee-Ma-Tuk is eutrophic. It ranked seventh in overall trophic quality when the 31 Illinois lakes sampled in 1973 were compared using a combination of six parameters*. Six of the lakes had less median total phosphorus, 13 had less median dissolved phosphorus, 20 had less median inorganic nitrogen, three had less mean chlorophyll a, and seven had greater mean Secchi disc transparency. Dissolved oxygen was depleted at the 6.1-meter depth at sampling station 1 in August and October and was depressed with depth at station 2 in August.

Survey limnologists reported some rooted emergent vegetation in shallow areas.

B. Rate-Limiting Nutrient:

The algal assay results indicate that Lake Wee-Ma-Tuk was phosphorus limited at the time the sample was collected (05/11/73). The lake data indicate phosphorus limitation at the other sampling times as well (the mean N/P ratios were 25/1 or greater).

* See Appendix A.

C. Nutrient Controllability:

1. Point sources--The Wee-Ma-Tuk Country Club was the only known point source impacting Lake Wee-Ma-Tuk during the sampling year and contributed an estimated 9.4% of the total phosphorus load. Other possible point source contributions would be from septic tanks serving lakeshore dwellings, but the significance of these sources was not determined.

The present phosphorus loading rate of 0.6 $g/m^2/yr$ is about 1.5 times that proposed by Vollenweider (Vollenweider and Dillon, 1974) as a eutrophic rate (see page 12). For this reason, and because Lake Wee-Ma-Tuk is phosphorus limited, all phosphorus inputs should be minimized to the greatest practicable extent to slow the eutrophication of this water body.

2. Non-point sources--The total phosphorus contributions of non-point sources amounted to 90.6% of the total load during the sampling year. Put Creek contributed 33.7%, and the ungaged tributaries were estimated to have contributed 54.2% of the total load.

The phosphorus export rate of Put Creek was a relatively low 27 $kg/km^2/yr$ (see page 12).

II. LAKE AND DRAINAGE BASIN CHARACTERISTICS†

A. Lake Morphometry††:

1. Surface area: 2.38 kilometers^2.
2. Mean depth: 1.8 meters.
3. Maximum depth: >6.1 meters.
4. Volume: 4.284×10^6 m^3.
5. Mean hydraulic retention time: 165 days.

B. Tributary and Outlet:
(See Appendix C for flow data)

1. Tributaries -

Name	Drainage area (km^2)*	Mean flow (m^3/sec)*
Put Creek	18.3	0.1
Minor tributaries & immediate drainage -	28.8	0.2
Totals	47.1	0.3

2. Outlet -

Put Creek	49.5**	0.3

C. Precipitation***:

1. Year of sampling: 125.8 centimeters.
2. Mean annual: 87.6 centimeters.

---

† Table of metric conversions--Appendix B.
†† Fornaris, 1973.
* For limits of accuracy, see Working Paper No. 175, "...Survey Methods, 1973-1976".
** Includes area of lake.
*** See Working Paper No. 175.

III. LAKE WATER QUALITY SUMMARY

Lake Wee-Ma-Tuk was sampled three times during the open-water season of 1973 by means of a pontoon-equipped Huey helicopter. Each time, samples for physical and chemical parameters were collected from two stations on the lake and from a number of depths at each station (see map, page v). During each visit, a single depth-integrated (4.6 m or near bottom to surface) sample was composited from the stations for phytoplankton identification and enumeration; and during the first visit, a single 18.9-liter depth-integrated sample was composited for algal assays. Also each time, a depth-integrated sample was collected from each of the stations for chlorophyll a analysis. The maximum depths sampled were 6.1 meters at station 1 and 4.3 meters at station 2.

The lake sampling results are presented in full in Appendix D and are summarized in the following table.

A. SUMMARY OF PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS FOR LAKE WENATUK
STORET CODE 1761

PARAMETER	1ST SAMPLING (5/11/73) 2 SITES RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN	2ND SAMPLING (8/ 9/73) 2 SITES RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN	3RD SAMPLING (10/17/73) 2 SITES RANGE	MEAN	MEDIAN
TEMP (C)	15.3 - 19.2	17.5	17.4	17.0 - 28.5	25.5	27.1	18.0 - 18.5	18.3	18.4
DISS OXY (MG/L)	6.1 - 8.8	7.7	7.9	0.0 - 9.0	5.0	8.2	0.0 - 7.4	4.6	5.0
CNDCTVY (MCROMO)	850. - 1025.	915.	875.	886. - 1077.	1018.	1037.	724. - 860.	758.	749.
PH (STAND UNITS)	7.9 - 8.0	7.9	7.9	7.3 - 8.4	7.9	8.2	7.6 - 7.7	7.7	7.7
TOT ALK (MG/L)	148. - 170.	152.	151.	147. - 256.	176.	151.	137. - 147.	142.	142.
TOT P (MG/L)	0.041 - 0.075	0.064	0.067	0.026 - 0.088	0.044	0.038	0.066 - 0.177	0.103	0.096
ORTHO P (MG/L)	0.017 - 0.032	0.027	0.028	0.005 - 0.063	0.016	0.006	0.038 - 0.129	0.065	0.057
NO2+NO3 (MG/L)	2.440 - 2.880	2.738	2.740	0.090 - 1.300	0.947	1.220	0.940 - 1.670	1.221	1.080
AMMONIA (MG/L)	0.160 - 0.220	0.183	0.175	0.100 - 2.200	0.674	0.150	0.250 - 0.730	0.426	0.440
KJEL N (MG/L)	0.500 - 0.700	0.583	0.550	1.000 - 3.800	1.829	1.600	0.700 - 1.300	1.014	1.100
INORG N (MG/L)	2.660 - 3.060	2.922	2.945	1.320 - 2.370	1.621	1.450	1.440 - 1.920	1.647	1.670
TOTAL N (MG/L)	2.940 - 3.510	3.322	3.410	2.240 - 3.890	2.776	2.620	1.880 - 2.650	2.236	2.170
CHLHPYL A (UG/L)	4.8 - 5.9	5.3	5.3	9.0 - 11.5	10.3	10.3	5.2 - 11.4	8.3	8.3
SECCHI (METERS)	0.5 - 0.6	0.6	0.6	0.9 - 1.0	0.9	0.9	0.9 - 1.2	1.1	1.1

B. Biological characteristics:

1. Phytoplankton -

Sampling Date	Dominant Genera	Algal units per ml
05/11/73	1. Flagellates	3,823
	2. Asterionella sp.	633
	3. Cryptomonas sp.	407
	4. Cyclotella sp.	204
	5. Dinobryon sp.	136
	Other genera	182
	Total	5,385
08/09/73	1. Sphaerocystis sp.	1,203
	2. Oscillatoria sp.	827
	3. Coelastrum sp.	802
	4. Crucigenia sp.	251
	5. Cyclotella sp.	200
	Other genera	425
	Total	3,708
10/17/73	1. Melosira sp.	429
	2. Cyclotella sp.	309
	3. Oscillatoria sp.	245
	4. Cryptomonas sp.	131
	5. Flagellates	90
	Other genera	509
	Total	1,713

2. Chlorophyll a -

Sampling Date	Station Number	Chlorophyll a (µg/l)
05/11/73	01	5.9
	02	4.8
08/09/73	01	11.5
	02	9.0
10/17/73	01	5.2
	02	11.4

C. Limiting Nutrient Study:

1. Autoclaved, filtered, and nutrient spiked -

Spike (mg/l)	Ortho P Conc. (mg/l)	Inorganic N Conc. (mg/l)	Maximum yield (mg/l-dry wt.)
Control	0.015	2.240	0.1
0.050 P	0.065	2.240	22.1
0.050 P + 1.0 N	0.065	3.240	22.1
1.0 N	0.015	3.240	0.1

2. Discussion -

The control yield of the assay alga, Selenastrum capricornutum, indicates that the potential primary productivity of Lake Wee-Ma-Tuk was low at the time the sample was collected (05/11/73). A significant increase in yield occurred with the addition of phosphorus alone, but the addition of nitrogen alone did not result in an increase; hence, phosphorus limitation is indicated.

The lake data indicate limitation by phosphorus at all sampling times; i.e., the mean inorganic nitrogen/orthophosphorus ratios were 25/1 or greater, and phosphorus limitation would be expected.

IV. NUTRIENT LOADINGS
(See Appendix E for data)

For the determination of nutrient loadings, the Illinois National Guard collected monthly near-surface grab samples from each of the tributary sites indicated on the map (page v), except for the high runoff months of January and March when two samples were collected. Sampling was begun in June, 1973, and was completed in May, 1974.

Through an interagency agreement, stream flow estimates for the year of sampling and a "normalized" or average year were provided by the Illinois District Office of the U.S. Geological Survey for the tributary sites nearest the lake.

In this report, nutrient loads for sampled tributaries were determined by using a modification of a U.S. Geological Survey computer program for calculating stream loadings*.

Nutrient loads for unsampled "minor tributaries and immediate drainage" ("ZZ" of U.S.G.S.) were estimated using the nutrient exports, in $kg/km^2/year$, at station A-2 and multiplying by the ZZ area in km^2.

The Wee-Ma-Tuk Country Club did not participate in the Survey, and nutrient loads were estimated at 1.134 kg P and 3.401 kg N/capita/year.

---

* See Working Paper No. 175.

A. Waste Sources:

1. Known domestic* -

Name	Pop. Served	Treatment	Mean Flow (m^3/d)	Receiving Water
Wee-Ma-Tuk Country Club	120	sand filter	45.4**	Lake Wee-Ma-Tuk

2. Known industrial - None

* Barganz, 1975.
** Estimated at 0.3785 m^3/capita/day.

B. Annual Total Phosphorus Loading - Average Year:

1. Inputs -

Source	kg P/ yr	% of total
a. Tributaries (non-point load) -		
Put Creek	485	33.7
b. Minor tributaries & immediate drainage (non-point load) -	780	54.2
c. Known domestic STP's -		
Country Club	135	9.4
d. Septic tanks - Unknown	-	-
e. Known industrial - None	-	-
f. Direct precipitation* -	40	2.7
Total	1,440	100.0

2. Outputs -

Lake outlet - Put Creek 670

3. Net annual P accumulation - 770 kg.

* See Working Paper No. 175.

C. Annual Total Nitrogen Loading - Average Year:

1. Inputs -

Source	kg N/yr	% of total
a. Tributaries (non-point load) -		
Put Creek	21,550	36.9
b. Minor tributaries & immediate drainage (non-point load) -	33,925	58.0
c. Known domestic STP's -		
Country Club	410	0.7
d. Septic tanks - Unknown	-	-
e. Known industrial - None	-	-
f. Direct precipitation* -	2,570	4.4
Total	58,455	100.0

2. Outputs -

Lake outlet - Put Creek 38,190

3. Net annual N accumulation - 20,265 kg.

* See Working Paper No. 175.

D. Mean Annual Non-point Nutrient Export by Subdrainage Area:

Tributary	kg P/km²/yr	kg N/km²/yr
Put Creek	27	1,178

E. Yearly Loading Rates:

In the following table, the existing phosphorus loading rates are compared to those proposed by Vollenweider (Vollenweider and Dillon, 1974). Essentially, his "dangerous" rate is the rate at which the receiving water would become eutrophic or remain eutrophic; his "permissible" rate is that which would result in the receiving water remaining oligotrophic or becoming oligotrophic if morphometry permitted. A mesotrophic rate would be considered one between "dangerous" and "permissible".

Note that Vollenweider's model may not be applicable to water bodies with short hydraulic retention times.

	Total Phosphorus		Total Nitrogen	
	Total	Accumulated	Total	Accumulated
grams/m²/yr	0.61	0.32	24.6	8.5

Vollenweider loading rates for phosphorus (g/m²/yr) based on mean depth and mean hydraulic retention time of Lake Wee-Ma-Tuk:

"Dangerous" (eutrophic rate)	0.40
"Permissible" (oligotrophic rate)	0.20

V. LITERATURE REVIEWED

Barganz, Ronald M., 1975. Personal communication (Lake Wee-Ma-Tuk Country Club wastewater treatment facilities). IL Env. Prot. Agency, Springfield.

Forneris, John J., 1973. Personal communication (lake morphometry). IL Env. Prot. Agency, Springfield.

Vollenweider, R. A., and P. J. Dillon, 1974. The application of the phosphorus loading concept to eutrophication research. Natl. Res. Council of Canada Publ. No. 13690, Canada Centre for Inland Waters, Burlington, Ontario.

VI. APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

LAKE RANKINGS

LAKE DATA TO BE USED IN RANKINGS

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500-MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15-MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P
1703	LAKE BLOOMINGTON	0.050	5.730	464.667	26.200	14.000	0.020
1706	LAKE CARLYLE	0.084	1.270	477.889	17.367	11.000	0.032
1708	LAKE CHARLESTON	0.160	4.680	490.667	12.000	8.400	0.065
1711	COFFEEN LAKE	0.032	0.260	456.222	7.700	14.900	0.012
1712	CRAB ORCHARD LAKE	0.082	0.280	482.222	59.867	13.000	0.013
1714	LAKE DECATUR	0.129	3.750	479.571	43.000	14.500	0.062
1725	LONG LAKE	0.704	1.190	482.667	49.333	8.000	0.398
1726	LAKE LOU YAEGER	0.146	1.600	489.583	10.662	11.400	0.076
1727	LAKE MARIE	0.090	0.370	467.667	39.533	14.700	0.057
1733	PISTAKEE LAKE	0.203	0.370	485.667	75.867	7.000	0.062
1735	REND LAKE	0.071	0.210	471.500	23.533	12.700	0.012
1739	LAKE SHELBYVILLE	0.662	3.290	461.333	17.161	14.000	0.019
1740	SILVER LAKE (HIGHLAND)	0.226	0.970	489.500	5.822	14.000	0.057
1742	LAKE SPRINGFIELD	0.108	3.265	483.385	13.013	10.000	0.059
1748	VERMILION LAKE	0.109	4.695	481.500	31.150	14.200	0.050
1750	WONDER LAKE	0.426	0.890	486.000	98.533	7.000	0.132
1751	LAKE STORY	0.072	2.510	459.333	17.250	14.000	0.021
1752	DEPUE LAKE	0.438	4.050	490.000	58.833	7.600	0.276
1753	LAKE SANGCHRIS	0.050	1.970	475.417	19.292	14.500	0.009
1754	LAKE HOLIDAY	0.167	3.135	485.167	51.217	7.200	0.046
1755	FOX LAKE	0.219	0.375	486.167	63.050	8.000	0.083
1756	GRASS LAKE	0.381	0.020	481.000	83.500	5.900	0.093
1757	EAST LOON LAKE	0.076	0.120	450.000	22.300	14.900	0.018
1758	SLOCUM LAKE	0.865	0.200	487.333	221.100	5.000	0.362
1759	CEDAR LAKE	0.029	0.170	488.333	5.767	12.000	0.013
1761	LAKE WENATUK	0.069	1.770	466.333	7.967	14.500	0.031
1762	RACCOON LAKE	0.106	0.310	484.333	19.217	13.000	0.020
1763	BALDWIN LAKE	0.044	0.140	491.167	11.333	13.200	0.007

15

LAKE DATA TO BE USED IN RANKINGS

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	SDD-MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	IS-MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P
1764	LAKE VANDALIA	0.116	0.480	478.111	11.276	14.800	0.023
1765	OLD BEN MINE RESERVOIR	0.930	0.205	478.333	31.433	11.200	0.575
1766	HORSESHOE LAKE	0.127	0.705	482.833	182.250	6.800	0.018

PERCENT OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES (NUMBER OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES)

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	SOO- MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15- MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P	INDEX NO
1703	LAKE BLOOMINGTON	88 (26)	0 (0)	80 (24)	47 (14)	13 (2)	68 (20)	296
1706	LAKE CARLYLE	63 (19)	40 (12)	53 (19)	63 (19)	63 (19)	53 (16)	345
1708	LAKE CHARLESTON	37 (11)	7 (2)	0 (0)	77 (23)	77 (23)	27 (8)	225
1711	COFFEEN LAKE	97 (29)	77 (23)	93 (28)	93 (28)	2 (0)	92 (27)	464
1712	CRAB ORCHARD LAKE	67 (20)	90 (27)	43 (13)	20 (6)	42 (12)	85 (25)	367
1714	LAKE DECATUR	40 (12)	13 (4)	53 (16)	33 (10)	30 (8)	32 (9)	201
1725	LONG LAKE	7 (2)	43 (13)	40 (12)	30 (9)	72 (21)	3 (1)	195
1726	LAKE LOU YAEGER	30 (9)	37 (11)	7 (2)	87 (26)	57 (17)	23 (7)	241
1727	LAKE MARIE	60 (18)	66 (20)	73 (22)	37 (11)	23 (7)	42 (12)	383
1733	PISTAKEE LAKE	27 (8)	66 (20)	23 (7)	13 (4)	90 (27)	32 (9)	253
1735	RENO LAKE	77 (23)	80 (24)	70 (21)	50 (15)	53 (16)	92 (27)	422
1739	LAKE SHELBYVILLE	83 (25)	17 (5)	83 (25)	70 (21)	13 (2)	73 (22)	339
1740	SILVER LAKE (HIGHLAND)	20 (6)	47 (14)	10 (3)	97 (29)	13 (2)	42 (12)	229
1742	LAKE SPRINGFIELD	53 (16)	20 (6)	33 (10)	73 (22)	67 (20)	37 (11)	283
1748	VERMILION LAKE	50 (15)	3 (1)	47 (14)	43 (13)	37 (11)	47 (14)	227
1750	WONDER LAKE	13 (4)	50 (15)	20 (6)	7 (2)	80 (24)	13 (4)	183
1751	LAKE STORY	73 (22)	27 (8)	90 (27)	67 (20)	13 (2)	63 (19)	333
1752	DEPUE LAKE	10 (3)	10 (3)	3 (1)	23 (7)	83 (25)	10 (3)	139
1753	LAKE SANGCHRIS	88 (26)	30 (9)	67 (20)	57 (17)	30 (8)	97 (29)	369
1754	LAKE HOLIDAY	33 (10)	23 (7)	27 (8)	27 (8)	87 (26)	50 (15)	247
1755	FOX LAKE	23 (7)	63 (19)	17 (5)	17 (5)	72 (21)	20 (6)	212
1756	GRASS LAKE	17 (5)	53 (16)	50 (15)	10 (3)	97 (29)	17 (5)	244
1757	EAST LOON LAKE	70 (21)	100 (30)	97 (29)	53 (16)	2 (1)	77 (23)	399
1758	SLOCUM LAKE	3 (1)	87 (26)	13 (4)	0 (0)	100 (30)	7 (2)	210
1759	CEDAR LAKE	100 (30)	93 (28)	100 (30)	100 (30)	50 (15)	95 (25)	520
1761	LAKE WENATUK	80 (24)	33 (10)	77 (23)	90 (27)	30 (8)	57 (17)	367
1762	RACCOON LAKE	57 (17)	73 (22)	30 (9)	60 (18)	42 (12)	68 (20)	330
1763	BALDWIN LAKE	93 (28)	97 (29)	87 (26)	80 (24)	47 (14)	100 (30)	504

PERCENT OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES (NUMBER OF LAKES WITH HIGHER VALUES)

LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	MEDIAN TOTAL P	MEDIAN INORG N	500-MEAN SEC	MEAN CHLORA	15-MIN DO	MEDIAN DISS ORTHO P	INDEX NO
1764	LAKE VANDALIA	47 (14)	60 (18)	60 (18)	83 (25)	13 (2)	60 (18)	323
1765	OLD BEN MINE RESERVOIR	0 (0)	83 (25)	57 (17)	40 (12)	60 (18)	0 (0)	240
1766	HORSESHOE LAKE	43 (13)	57 (17)	37 (11)	3 (1)	93 (28)	80 (24)	313

LAKES RANKED BY INDEX NOS.

RANK	LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	INDEX NO
1	1759	CEDAR LAKE	528
2	1763	BALDWIN LAKE	504
3	1711	COFFEEN LAKE	454
4	1735	RENO LAKE	422
5	1757	EAST LOON LAKE	399
6	1753	LAKE SANGCHRIS	369
7	1761	LAKE WENATUK	367
8	1712	CRAB ORCHARD LAKE	347
9	1706	LAKE CARLYLE	345
10	1739	LAKE SHELBYVILLE	339
11	1751	LAKE STORY	333
12	1762	RACCOON LAKE	330
13	1764	LAKE VANDALIA	323
14	1766	HORSESHOE LAKE	313
15	1727	LAKE MARIE	303
16	1703	LAKE BLOOMINGTON	296
17	1742	LAKE SPRINGFIELD	283
18	1733	PISTAKEE LAKE	253
19	1754	LAKE HOLIDAY	247
20	1756	GRASS LAKE	244
21	1726	LAKE LOU YAEGER	241
22	1765	OLD BEN MINE RESERVOIR	240
23	1740	SILVER LAKE (HIGHLAND)	229
24	1748	VERMILION LAKE	227
25	1708	LAKE CHARLESTON	225
26	1755	FOX LAKE	212
27	1758	SLOCUM LAKE	210
28	1714	LAKE DECATUR	201

LAKES RANKED BY INDEX NOS.

RANK	LAKE CODE	LAKE NAME	INDEX NO
29	1725	LONG LAKE	195
30	1750	WONDER LAKE	183
31	1752	DEPUE LAKE	139

CONVERSION FACTORS

Hectares x 2.471 = acres

Kilometers x 0.6214 = miles

Meters x 3.281 = feet

Cubic meters x 8.107×10^{-4} = acre/feet

Square kilometers x 0.3861 = square miles

Cubic meters/sec x 35.315 = cubic feet/sec

Centimeters x 0.3937 = inches

Kilograms x 2.205 = pounds

Kilograms/square kilometer x 5.711 = lbs/square mile

APPENDIX C

TRIBUTARY FLOW DATA

TRIBUTARY FLOW INFORMATION FOR ILLINOIS 10/23/75

LAKE CODE 1761 LAKE WEE-HA-TUK

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE(SQ KM) 49.5

TRIBUTARY	SUB-DRAINAGE AREA(SQ KM)	NORMALIZED FLOWS(CMS) JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	MEAN
1761A1	49.5	0.32	0.49	0.60	0.62	0.48	0.41	0.24	0.13	0.09	0.09	0.14	0.17	0.31
1761A2	18.3	0.11	0.18	0.21	0.22	0.17	0.15	0.09	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.11
1761ZZ	31.3	0.21	0.31	0.39	0.40	0.31	0.26	0.16	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.09	0.11	0.20

SUMMARY

TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF LAKE = 49.5
SUM OF SUB-DRAINAGE AREAS = 49.6

TOTAL FLOW IN = 3.78
TOTAL FLOW OUT = 3.78

MEAN MONTHLY FLOWS AND DAILY FLOWS(CMS)

TRIBUTARY	MONTH	YEAR	MEAN FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW	DAY	FLOW
1761A1	6	73	0.71	3	1.06				
	7	73	0.10	8	0.18				
	8	73	0.17	4	0.23				
	9	73	0.19	8	0.24				
	10	73	0.57	7	0.54				
	11	73	0.34	3	0.40				
	12	73	0.48	1	0.27				
	1	74	1.61	6	1.13				
	2	74	0.79	16	0.57				
	3	74	1.02	1	0.71	17	1.13		
	4	74	1.27	5	0.76				
	5	74	0.76	13	0.37				
1761A2	6	73	0.25	3	0.40				
	7	73	0.03	8	0.06				
	8	73	0.06	4	0.08				
	9	73	0.06	8	0.08				
	10	73	0.18	7	0.18				
	11	73	0.11	3	0.13				
	12	73	0.16	1	0.09				
	1	74	0.57	6	0.40				
	2	74	0.28	16	0.20				
	3	74	0.37	1	0.26	16	0.40		
	4	74	0.45	5	0.27				
	5	74	0.27	13	0.13				
1761ZZ	6	73	0.45	3	0.68				
	7	73	0.07	8	0.12				
	8	73	0.11	4	0.15				
	9	73	0.12	8	0.16				
	10	73	0.40	7	0.37				
	11	73	0.23	3	0.26				
	12	73	0.31	1	0.18				
	1	74	1.05	6	0.74				
	2	74	0.51	16	0.37				
	3	74	0.65	1	0.45	16	0.74		
	4	74	0.82	5	0.48				
	5	74	0.48	13	0.24				

23

APPENDIX D

PHYSICAL and CHEMICAL DATA

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/10/23

176101
40 31 56.0 090 10 14.0
LAKE WEMATUK
17057 ILLINOIS

11EPALES
3

2111202
0010 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00300 DO MG/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 T ALK CACO3 MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-ORTHO MG/L P
73/05/11	15 29	0000	17.9		20	875	8.00	150	0.160	0.790	2.790	0.031
	15 29	0006	16.6	8.1		875	8.00	151	0.170	0.580	2.710	0.026
	15 29	0015	15.3	6.1		1025	7.90	170	0.220	0.290	2.440	0.017
73/08/09	16 40	0000	27.6	8.2	36	1040	8.40	151	0.100	1.300	1.220	0.008
	16 40	0005	27.1	8.4		1037	8.20	147	0.110	1.000	1.240	0.007
	16 40	0010	26.7			1032						
	16 40	0015	23.5	0.5		968	7.40	195	0.990	2.000	0.620	0.012
	16 40	0020	17.0	0.0		886	7.30	256	2.200	3.000	0.090	0.083
73/10/17	10 00	0000	18.5		48	741	7.70	142	0.440	1.100	1.000	0.030
	10 00	0005	18.5	5.0		749	7.70	143	0.470	0.900	0.900	0.007
	10 00	0015	18.5	4.2		756	7.60	145	0.570	1.200	0.900	0.003
	10 00	0020	18.0	0.0		860	7.70	147	0.730	1.300	0.900	0.129

25

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	32217 CHLRPHYL A UG/L
73/05/11	15 29	0000	0.075	5.9
	15 29	0006	0.061	
	15 29	0015	0.041	
73/08/09	16 40	0000	0.026	11.5
	16 40	0005	0.034	
	16 40	0015	0.043	
	16 40	0020	0.088	
73/10/17	10 00	0000	0.066	5.2
	10 00	0005	0.093	
	10 00	0015	0.117	
	10 00	0020	0.177	

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/10/23

176102
40 32 00.0 090 09 00.0
LAKE MEMATUK
17057 ILLINOIS

11EPALES
3

2111202
0014 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00010 WATER TEMP CENT	00300 DO MG/L	00077 TRANSP SECCHI INCHES	00094 CNDUCTVY FIELD MICROMHO	00400 PH SU	00410 T ALK CACO3 MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P
73/05/11	15	55 0000	19.2		24	875	7.90	140	0.180	0.500	2.600	0.071
	15	55 0005	19.1	8.8		850	7.90	140	0.170	0.700	2.610	0.072
	15	55 0011	16.9	7.8		990	7.90	150	0.200	0.600	2.640	0.076
73/08/09	17	10 0000	28.5	9.0	38	1073	8.40	149	0.120	1.600	1.280	0.000
	17	10 0005	28.3			1077						
	17	10 0010	27.7	8.3		1075	8.20	151	0.150	1.000	1.300	0.000
	17	10 0014	23.1	0.6		976	7.40	105	0.970	2.100	0.780	0.000
73/10/17	10	20 0000	18.4		36	724	7.70	139	0.260	1.100	1.550	0.042
	10	20 0005	18.3	7.4		726	7.70	130	0.250	0.800	1.670	0.049
	10	20 0011	18.2	6.6		752	7.70	137	0.260	0.700	1.470	0.060

92

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P	32217 CHLRPHYL A UG/L
73/05/11	15	55 0000	0.073	4.8
	15	55 0005	0.072	
	15	55 0011	0.063	
73/08/09	17	10 0000	0.031	9.0
	17	10 0010	0.038	
	17	10 0014	0.048	
73/10/17	10	20 0000	0.072	11.4
	10	20 0005	0.096	
	10	20 0011	0.102	

APPENDIX E

TRIBUTARY DATA

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/10/23

1761A1
40 31 55.0 090 10 00.0
PUT CREEK
17097 FULTON CO MAP
O/LAKE WEE-MA-TUK
SEC RD BRDG JUST BELO WEE-MA-TUK DAM
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P
73/06/03	13 20		2.300	2.800	0.105	0.012	0.035
73/07/08	09 30		2.500	1.900	0.067	0.010	0.030
73/08/04	10 00		1.490	2.730	0.138	0.005K	0.035
73/09/08	08 40		0.154	1.600	0.260	0.013	0.110
73/10/07	13 00		0.380	1.150	0.420	0.023	0.065
73/11/03	12 30		1.600	1.800	0.280	0.019	0.070
73/12/01	10 35		2.760	0.900	0.216	0.024	0.195
74/01/06	10 45		3.780	1.400	0.144	0.020	0.050
74/01/25	18 20		3.520	1.300	0.165	0.040	0.095
74/02/16	10 00		3.000	1.800	0.095	0.010	0.045
74/03/01	09 00		2.400	1.600	0.138	0.012	0.050
74/03/17	13 40		2.700	1.425	0.125	0.010	0.045
74/04/05	19 15		3.000	2.600	0.130	0.005	0.015
74/05/13	18 42		2.300	1.800	0.170	0.020	0.040

82

K VALUE KNOWN TO BE
LESS THAN INDICATED

STORET RETRIEVAL DATE 75/10/23

1761A2
40 33 32.0 090 07 30.0
PUT CREEK
17 FULTON CO MAP
1/LAKE #EE-MA-TUK
ST HWY 9 BRDG 4 MI # OF CANTON
11EPALES 2111204
4 0000 FEET DEPTH

DATE FROM TO	TIME OF DAY	DEPTH FEET	00630 NO2&NO3 N-TOTAL MG/L	00625 TOT KJEL N MG/L	00610 NH3-N TOTAL MG/L	00671 PHOS-DIS ORTHO MG/L P	00665 PHOS-TOT MG/L P
73/06/03	14 00		2.300	2.600	0.069	0.015	0.110
73/07/08	09 00		2.600	2.100	0.052	0.021	0.115
73/08/04	09 30		1.320	3.000	0.138	0.017	0.110
73/09/08	08 20		0.110	1.620	0.210	0.027	0.070
73/10/07	12 30		0.650	1.260	0.260	0.020	0.087
73/11/03	11 00		6.600	0.400	0.062	0.048	0.230
73/12/01	11 20		5.900	0.700	0.072	0.036	0.255
74/01/06	11 10		7.700	1.500	0.036	0.052	0.145
74/01/25	18 00		7.700	1.450	0.060	0.085	0.190
74/02/16	11 00		7.300	0.600	0.050	0.035	0.090
74/03/01	10 30		6.600	2.400	0.105	0.070	
74/03/16	15 00		7.600	1.200	0.065	0.035	0.100
74/04/05	18 40		6.240	0.900	0.045	0.025	0.045
74/05/13	18 36		6.200	1.400	0.065	0.040	0.150